

## A study on across knee external fixation in adults

Peer review status: No

*Corresponding Author:* Dr. Jeewan S Prakash, Professor, CMC & Hospital, CMC & Hospital ,Ludhiana, 141008 - India

Submitting Author: Dr. Jeewan S Prakash, Professor, CMC & Hospital, CMC & Hospital ,Ludhiana, 141008 - India

Article ID: WMC005773 Article Type: Research articles Submitted on:18-Mar-2022, 09:01:01 AM GMT Published on: 22-Mar-2022, 07:32:27 AM GMT Article URL: http://www.webmedcentral.com/article\_view/5773 Subject Categories:ORTHOPAEDICS Keywords:: across knee, external fixation, distal femur fracture, proximal tibia fracture, knee dislocation

**How to cite the article:**Prakash JS. A study on across knee external fixation in adults. WebmedCentral ORTHOPAEDICS 2022;13(3):WMC005773

**Copyright:** This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License(CC-BY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Source(s) of Funding:

Self funded

# A study on across knee external fixation in adults

Author(s): Prakash JS

### Abstract

External fixation is a commonly performed orthopedic surgical intervention . Across knee external fixation is carried out for various indications related to bones , joints and soft tissues . We report our observations on 41 across knee external fixators applied on 39 patients studied over a period of 4 years .

### Introduction

A  $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$  joint spanning external fixator plays an equally $\hat{A}$  important $\hat{A}$  role in management of acute trauma befitting a $\hat{A}$  part of damage control $\hat{A}$  orthopedics as well as $\hat{A}$  for multiple non traumatic conditions involving the lower limb/s. Across knee external fixation is mostly done fixing the femur and the tibia , with or without $\hat{A}$  limited internal fixation simultaneously.  $\hat{A}$ 

#### Review

Francois Malgaigne ( $1840\hat{a}\in Ms$ ) Â is credited for the earliest form of modern external fixator Â, a claw like device used for management of patellar fractures . Parkhill (1894 USA)Â Â , Lambotte ( a Belgian surgeon 1902) , and Hoffman ( 1938 Switzerland) are noteworthy in the ensuingÂ evolution of external fixation systems . In  $1950\hat{a}\in Ms$ llizarov (USSR) and others were instrumental in the development of ring or circular externalÂ fixators which they successfully utilized for distraction osteoneogenesis and other indications . External fixators have been applied on tibia , femur and other bones as well as across joints like knee , ankle , wrist etc. [ $\hat{A}^{5, 12, 8}$ ].

### Materials & methods

In a study carried out between January 2012 and December 2015, 39 patients with 41Å across knee external fixators [ 2 patients withÅ bilateral fixators ] were selected on fulfillment of inclusion and exclusion criteria cleared / approved by research, ethics and other related committees Å. Inclusion criterion was patients 18 years or older. Exclusion criteria : patients with neurological deficits , patients with implants in situ due to earlier surgery .

#### Observations

Initial enrolment included 45 patients with 47 across knee fixators over 4 years but 39 patients with 41 external fixations could be followed up until end of study : 6 patients were lost to follow up for various reasons . Age ranged from 18 to 65 years : 37 males , 02 females . Mean age was 37.36 years . Male female ratio 18.5 : 1 . Majority 10 patients were between 41 & 50 years [ 25.64% , 10 males ] , followed by 9 between 21 & 30 [ 23.08% , 8 males , 1 female ] together accounting for nearly half of all the patients. The other female was in her fourth decade.

In 39 patients the commonest mode of injury was road traffic accidents [ RTA n = 34, 87.1%], followed by industrial accidents [ n= 3, 7.89% ]. 2 patients had flexion deformity of knee. RTA and industrial accidents caused 44 fractures : 20 femur fractures , 24 tibia fractures and 1 knee dislocation . 25 fractures [ 56.8% ] were open , 19 [ 43.2% ] closed . Of open fractures 21 [ 84% ] were Gustilo Anderson III B , followed by 8% III C and 4% III A & II [1] each . According to AO classification : of 20 femur fractures 1 – 32 , 19 – 33 : of 24 tibia fractures 16 – 41Â &Â 8 - 42 .

**Indications**  $\hat{A}$  :  $\hat{A}$  36 patients were managed with across knee external fixation for 44 fractures $\hat{A}$  on 38 limbs . 1 patient needed it after reduction of dislocation of knee . In 2 patients it was indicated for flexion deformity of knee . Majority 24 of $\hat{A}$  37 [ 64.8%]  $\hat{A}$  patients $\hat{A}$  had across knee fixation within 2 days . 2 patients with flexion deformity were taken up 1 day after admission .The rest  $\hat{A}$  were operated within 1 week or later.  $\hat{A}$  Mean time for external fixation following injury was 2.65 days . Out of 39  $\hat{A}$  across knee fixators in males 18 were applied on left side , 21 on right . Both female patients had left side involvement . In $\hat{A}$  2 males bilateral lower limb fixators were applied.

 1 ring and 40 tubular fixators were applied . 36 fixators were unilateral biplanar with Schanz pins inserted laterally on femur and anteriorly /anteromedially on tibia . 4 fixators were bilateral uniplanar spanning both knee and ankle joints with Denham pins through calcaneum . 163 Schanz screws were applied on 40 tubular fixators . 8Å pins passed through open wounds . Majority 80% fixators [ 32/40] had 2 pins each in femur and tibia . 9 K wires were inserted in 1 Ilizarov fixator . None of the K wires was through wound . 8 out of 38 knees were stabilized initially with limited internal fixation with K wires and /or cannulated screws .

All patients were started on physiotherapy from first post op day , made to stand and ambulate within 1<sup>st</sup> week . Post fixator removal all were started on knee range of motion and muscle strengthening exercises .

### **Results & Analysis**

39 adult patients underwent 41 across knee external fixation on 41 lower limbs for 44 fractures of femur and tibia , 1 dislocated knee Å , and knee flexion deformity  $\hat{a}$ €" 2 . They were followed up for an average period of Å 43.8 Å weeks [ 0.84 year ] : rangeÅ 13 – 104+ weeks .

All 44 fractures united , fracture union 100% . Average union time was 25.75 weeks . Mal union was recorded in 5 out of 36 [ 13.88% ] Â patients. Delayed union was seen in 5 out of 44 fractures [ 11.36% ] in 5 of 36 [ 13.88% ] Â patients .

Pin tract infection [ PTI ] was seen in 16 Schanz pins out of 163, 7 passed through wounds. 9 screws had grade I infection, 6  $\hat{a} \in$  " grade II : these could be controlled by better pin tract care and / or local curettage and oral antibiotics. 1 screw had grade III infection with purulent discharge in 9<sup>th</sup> week, fixator was removed . Pin wise PTI Â was 9.82% [16/163]Â observed in 11 fixators of 40Â tubular fixators [ 27.5%Â fixator wise PTI ] in 11 of 38 patients [ 28.95% patient wise PTI ]. In 1 patient with 1 ring fixator with 9 K wires no infection was seen.

Compartment syndrome was observed in 2 out of 41 [ 4.88%] limbs involved in 2 of 39 [5.13%] patients . Knee flexion contracture was observed in 2 out of 41 [4.88%] limbs involved in 2 of 39 [5.13%] patients . None had deep vein thrombosis , osteomyelitis , septic arthritis , Â haematoma formation around knee in any affected limb .

 Mean ROM of knee following fixator removal was 74.76 +/-25.79 degree ranging from 05 to 130 degree.

#### Discussion

In the past decades starting with Marsh et al 1995 [6

] to Ganjwala et al 2014 [2], 10 studies reported on from 4 patients with 5 across knee fixators to 75 patients with 75 fixators [ 5 ]Â for different indications . Park et al 2011 [7] recorded mean age of 17.5 years, other studies mostly above 40 and 50 years, in comparison to our 37.36 yrs.. Â Majority studies report higher male to female ratios e.g. 1.17: 1 ,1.29: 1,3.7: 1 to 5: 1 [ $^1$ ,  $^{10}\hat{A}$ ] to the present 18.5 : 1. Studies reported indications : tibial plateau fractures , distal femur fractures Â, arthrodesis, HTO non union, deformity correction [Â <sup>6,1,11,3,2</sup> Â]. Some studies reported greater number of closed fractures [ <sup>10,5,9</sup> Â ] others open fractures [ 4 Â Â ] in comparison to the present 56.8% open and 43.2% closed fractures . 84% open fractures were grade IIIB, 8% grade IIIC .Â Duration of Â fixators reported in different studies range from 5.5 weeks to 26 weeks in comparison to the present study's 10 weeks on an average, range 8 to 27.5 weeks [1,7]. Range of fracture union time in studies varies from 3 to 5.8 months  $\hat{A}[9,1]$ . In the present study average union  $\hat{A}$ time was 5.92 months Â.

Pin tract infections have ranged from 6.25% to 100 % of patients in studies [4, 7] while in the present series PTI was 9.82% pin wise, 27.5% fixator wise and 28.95% patient wise. Incidence of mal union was 11.36% in comparison to 14% to 20% in other studies [ $^{6+9}$ ]. There was no nonunion but 11.36% [n =5] delayed union was observed which was one of the contributory factors in the higher average of fracture union time.

Knee ROM reported in different studies averaged from 85 degrees to 126.9. By the time of last follow up the present study witnessed an average range of movement of 74.76. It is noteworthy that 57% fractures were open, 92% of them grade III B & III C with soft as well as hard tissue loss/es in a number of victimsÂ. ROM was noted to be less with increasing grade of compound fractures, the longer period of delay in external fixation, and the longer the fixator remained on the limb/s across the joint/s.

#### Conclusion

Based on correlation analysis Â knee ROM was found to be inversely related to severity of open fractures , time of fixator application since injury , and duration of fixator prior to removal.

### Bibliography

01 . Fazal A , Michael SÂ : Treatment of isolated

complex distal femoral fractures by external fixation : Injury : 2000 : 31Å : 3 :139 - 145

02 . Ganjwala D , Shah S , Shah S : Ensuring precision in lower limb deformity correction through a combination of temporary external fixation followed by internal fixation:Â results of a retrospective study : Indian J Ortho : 2014 : 48 [5] : 495 - 500

03 . Gillooly J , Tilkeridis K, Simonis R , Monsell F : The treatment of high tibial osteotomy non union with Ilizarov external fixator : Strategies Trauma Limb Reconstr. : 2012 :7 [2] : 93 - 97Å

04 . Hutson J , Zych G : Treatment of comminuted intraarticular distal femur fractures  $\hat{A}$  with limited internal and external tensioned wire fixation : J Ortho Trauma : 2000 :14 [6]: 405 - 13

05 . Mankar SH , Golhar AV , Shukla M , Badwaik PS , Faizan M , Kalkotwar S : Outcome of complex tibial plateau fractures treated with external fixator : Indian J Ortho : 2012 :46 [5]: 570-74

#### Â

06 . Marsh J , Smith S , Do TT : External fixation and limited internal fixation for complex fractures of tibial plateau : J Bone Joint Surg Am : 1995 :77 [5] : 661-73

07 . Park H , Kim H , Park H , Lee K : Limb angular deformity correction using Dyna ATC : surgical technique , calculation method and clinical outcome :Yonsei Med J : 2011:52 [5] : 818 - 30

08. Prakash J S, David V, Bhatty S, Deane A, Mahajan A: Across wrist external fixation for distal radius fractures in adults : WebmedCentral Orthopedics : 2015 : 6 [8] WMC004959

09 . Ramos T , Ekholm C , Eriksson B , Karlsson J , Nistor L : The Ilizarov external fixator – a useful alternative for the treatment of proximal tibial fractures . A prospective observational study of 30 consecutive patients : BMC Musculoskeletal disorders : 2013 :

14:11

10. Ranatunga I R , Thirumal M : Treatment of tibial plateau Schatzker type VI fracture with Ilizarov technique using ring external fixators across the knee : A retrospective review : Malays Orthop J : 2010: 3: [2]: 34-9

11 . Riouallan G , Molina G , Mansour C , Nordin JÂ : An original knee arthrodesis technique combining external fixator with Steinman pins direct fixation : Orthop Traumatol Surg Res . : 2009 : 95 : [4] : 272 - 7

Â

12 . Zlowodzki M , Prakash J S , Aggarwal N K :

External Fixation of Complex Femoral Fractures : International Orthopedics : Â 2007 : 31 [3]: 409- 413